Document 96-5

Filed 10/16/2006 Page 1 of 4

EXHIBIT D

1	DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577 JUDITH B. GITTERMAN, Bar No. 115661	
2	M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463 PERKINS COIE LLP	
3	4 Embarcadero Center, 24 th Floor	
4		
5	Facsimile: (415) 344-7050 Email: <u>DBiderman@perkinscoie.com</u>	
6	Email: JGitterman@perkinscoie.com Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com	
7	Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		1
12	CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD	CASE NO. C O5-03649 JW
13	STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.'S
14	Plaintiffs,	OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF DEPOSITION
15	,	
16	V.	
17	GOOGLE, INC.,	
18	Defendant.	
19		
20	Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP"), and in response to plaintiffs	
21	CLRB Hanson Industries, LLC, d/b/a Industrial Printing, and Howard Stern's ("plaintiffs")	
22	FRCP 26 and 30(b)(6) Notice of Deposition to defendant Google Inc. ("Google"), in the above-	
23	captioned matter, Google makes the following objections:	
24	1. Google objects to plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition on the ground that it violates	
25	the Court's June 27, 2006 Order Following Case Management Conference ("Order") because the	
26	Order limited the discovery to take place prior to a hearing on partial summary judgment	
27	motions. The Order specifically directed that Google be given the opportunity to depose one or	

28

PERKINSCOIE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ø 0113

both plaintiffs by August 6, 2006, regarding the terms and conditions of the agreement between the parties under the AdWords program and their understanding of these terms and conditions. The Order also directed that Google submit to plaintiffs a sworn statement "that it has turned over all documents describing the terms and conditions of the AdWords agreement." The Order does not permit plaintiffs to depose Google.

On July 26, 2006, Google filed a motion to enlarge time for taking the depositions and, on August 1, 2006, the Court ordered that "[f]or good cause shown, the deadline for [Google] to depose both [p]laintiffs is extended to and including August 17, 2006." On August 8, 2006, plaintiffs filed a motion seeking to continue the partial summary judgment hearing date, which was granted on August 16, 2006. At no time did plaintiffs seek modification of the Order to permit a deposition of Google's FRCP 30(b)(6) representative or any other Google representative.

- 2. Google objects to plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition on the ground that it fails to describe with reasonable particularity the matters on which examination is requested.
- 3. Google objects to plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition on the ground that it violates FRCP 30(d)(2), which limits a deposition to one day of seven hours unless otherwise authorized by the court or stipulated by the parties.
- 4. Google objects to plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition on the ground that the noticed date for deposition was unilaterally selected by plaintiffs in violation of Local Rule 30-1, which provides that "the noticing party must confer about the scheduling of the deposition with opposing counsel" before noticing a deposition of a party.

Dated: September 7, 2006

By:

David T. Biderma

PERKINS CO

Attorneys for Defendant GOGGLE INC.

25

26

27

28

-2-

1 PROOF OF SERVICE I, Michael J. Alcantara, declare: 2 I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the County of San Francisco 3 State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action My 4 business address is Perkins Coie LLP, 4 Embarcdero Center, 24th Floor, San Francisco. 5 California 94111-3162. I am personally familiar with the business practice of Perkins Cole LLP 6 7 On September 6, 2006, I served the following document(s): 8 DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.'S OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF DEPOSITION 9 by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed to the following parties. 10 William M. Audet, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs Ryan M. Hagan, Esq. 11 Jason Baker, Esq. ALEXANDER, HAWES & AUDET, LLP 12 152 North Third Street, Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95112 13 Tel: (408) 289-1776; Fax: (408) 287-1776 14 Lester L. Levy, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs 15 Michele F. Raphael, Esq. Renee L. Karalian, Esq. 16 WOLF POPPER LLP 845 Third Avenue 17 New York, NY 10022 18 Tel: (212) 759-4600; Fax: (212) 486-2093 19 (By Facsimile/Telecopy) I caused each document to be sent by Automatic Facsimile/Telecopier to the number(s) indicated above. 20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above 21 is true and correct and that this declaration was executed at San Francisco, California 22 23 DATED: September 7, 2006. 24 25 26 27

28